

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

LANDERS AUTO GROUP NO. 1,
INC. D/B/A LANDERS TOYOTA, *et*
al., on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ZF TRW AUTOMOTIVE HOLDING
CORP., ZF FREIDRICHSHAFEN
AG, LUCAS AUTOMOTIVE GMBH,
ROBERT BOSCH GMBH, and
ROBERT BOSCH LLC,

Defendants.

Master File No. 2:12-md-02311
Hon. Sean F. Cox

IN RE HYDRAULIC BRAKING
SYSTEMS

Case No. 21-cv-12002
Case No. 21-cv-4502

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

AUTOMOBILE DEALERSHIP
ACTIONS

**ORDER GRANTING DEALERSHIP PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WITH ZF
TRW AUTOMOTIVE HOLDINGS CORP, ZF FRIEDRICHSHAFEN AG
AND LUCAS AUTOMOTIVE GMBH AND
PROVISIONAL CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS**

Upon consideration of Automobile Dealer Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Proposed Settlement with ZF TRW Automotive Holdings Corp, ZF Friedrichshafen AG (the successor in interest into which TRW KFZ Ausrüstung GmbH merged), and Lucas Automotive GmbH (now known as ZF Active Safety GmbH) (collectively "TRW") and Provisional Certification of Settlement Class ("Motion"), it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The Motion is hereby **GRANTED**.

Unless otherwise set forth herein, defined terms in this Order shall have the same meaning ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

Preliminary Approval of Settlement Agreement

2. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are hereby preliminarily approved, including the release contained therein, as being fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, subject to a Fairness Hearing. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into at arm's length by experienced counsel and is sufficiently within the range of reasonableness that notice of the Settlement Agreement should be given, pursuant to a plan to be submitted by Settlement Class Counsel and approved by the Court at a later date as provided in this Order.

Class Certification

3. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 ("Rule 23"), and in light of the proposed settlement, the Court hereby finds that the prerequisites for a class

action have been met and provisionally certifies the following class for settlement purposes (“Settlement Class”):

All Automobile Dealerships that, during the period from February 13, 2007 through December 31, 2017, (a) indirectly purchased one or more Hydraulic Braking System(s), which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant, any current or former subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or any co-conspirator of a Defendant, or (b) purchased Vehicle(s) for resale which contained one or more Hydraulic Braking System(s) as a component part, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant, any current or former subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or co-conspirator of a Defendant. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and affiliates, any co-conspirators, federal governmental entities and instrumentalities of the federal government entities and instrumentalities of the federal government, and states and their subdivisions, agencies and instrumentalities.

4. The Court finds that provisional certification of the Settlement Class is warranted in light of the Settlement Agreement because: (a) the Settlement Class members are so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (b) Dealership Plaintiffs’ claims present common issues and are typical of the Settlement Class; (c) Dealership Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel (defined below) will fairly and adequately represent the Settlement Class; and (d) common issues predominate over any individual issues affecting the members of the Settlement Class. The Court further finds that Dealership Plaintiffs’ interests are aligned with the interests of all other members of the Settlement Class. The Court also finds settlement of this action on a class basis is superior to other means of resolving the matter.

Appointment of Settlement Class Counsel

5. The Court hereby appoints Cuneo Gilbert & LaDuca, LLP, Barrett Law Group, P.A., and Larson • King, LLP as Settlement Class Counsel, having determined that the requirements of Rule 23(g) are fully satisfied by this appointment.

6. Each Dealership Plaintiff class representative named in the most current Complaint in the above case will serve as Dealership Plaintiff class representative on behalf of the Settlement Class.

Notice to Potential Class Members

7. Prior to the Fairness Hearing, Settlement Class Counsel shall provide notice of the Settlement Agreement and the Fairness Hearing to potential class members affected by and/or entitled to participate in the settlement in compliance with the notice requirements of Rule 23 and due process of law. Such means of providing notice will be addressed in a subsequent Order following submission by Dealership Plaintiffs at a later date of a proposal for notice to the Settlement Class and related forms for notice, claims and distribution (“Notice Motion”).

8. The Notice Motion shall include a proposed form of, method for, and date of dissemination of notice and the date on which the notice is mailed shall be the “Notice Date.”

Other Provisions

9. In the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated in accordance with its provisions, the Settlement Agreement and all proceedings had in connection

therewith shall be null and void, except insofar as expressly provided to the contrary in the Settlement Agreement, and without prejudice to the status quo and rights of Dealership Plaintiffs, TRW, and the members of the Settlement Class.

10. The Court's provisional certification of the Settlement Class as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant, including TRW, to contest certification of any other class proposed in these coordinated actions within 12-md-002311. The Court's findings in this Order shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in the coordinated actions within 12-md-002311 or on the Court's ruling(s) concerning any Defendant's motion; and no party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any such class or any Defendant's motion.

11. The Court approves the establishment of the Settlement Fund under the Settlement Agreement as a qualified settlement fund ("QSF") pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, and retains continuing jurisdiction as to any issue that may arise in connection with the formation and/or administration of the QSF. Settlement Class Counsel are, in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, authorized to expend funds from the QSF for the payment of the costs of notice, payment of taxes, and settlement administration costs.

12. The litigation against Releasees (as defined in the Settlement Agreement with TRW) is stayed except to the extent necessary to effectuate the Settlement Agreement and TRW need not answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint unless and until otherwise ordered by the Court.

Dated: September 20, 2021

s/Sean F. Cox

Sean F. Cox

U. S. District Judge